1 2

3

56

7 8

9

11

1213

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

2425

26

27

28

E-FILED on: <u>1/21/2009</u>

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

In re: CORRINA CURIEL LONA,

Debtor.

CORRINA CURIEL LONA,

Appellant,

17

JOSE ABREU dba INTERTEL COMMUNICATIONS,

Appellee.

No. C-08-03563 RMW

[Re Docket Nos. 8, 14]

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE APPEAL BRIEF

Debtor Corrina Curiel Lona appeals from a bankruptcy court order after trial overruling Lona's objection to appellee Jose Abreu dba Intertel Communications claim against her in the amount of \$439,000. Shortly after beginning the appellate process, Lona decided to represent herself. *See* Docket No. 3 (Aug. 4, 2008). This change in counsel may have led to Lona's failure to receive the clerk's notice setting a briefing schedule for the appeal.<sup>1</sup> The briefing schedule called for

The court's records, however, suggest that a copy of the briefing schedule was mailed to Lona's present address. *See* Docket No. 7 Service Receipt (Oct. 7, 2008).

Lona's opening brief to be due on November 6, 2008. Docket No. 7 (Oct. 7, 2008). It also set

deadlines in November and December for Abreu's opposition brief and any reply briefing. See id.

the appeal for failure to prosecute. Upon receipt of Abreu's motion to dismiss, Lona claims that she

became aware of the briefing schedule. Thus, on December 31, 2008, Lona filed a motion for an

extension of time to file her opening brief, which the court also construes as an opposition to the

The failure to comply with the court's briefing order may justify dismissal of an appeal.

Bankr. R. 8001; In re Scheri, 51 F.3d 71, 74 (7th Cir. 1995). Nonetheless, the case law is clear that

alternative sanctions like fines or paying the appellee's attorney's fees). Indeed, as a general matter,

dismissal tends to only be appropriate upon a showing of the appellant's bad faith or prejudice to the

appellee. See id. Abreu has made no showing of prejudice in support of his motion to dismiss, and

passed since Lona designated the record and identified the issues she seeks to appeal, the court

extends the time for her to file her appellate brief to February 6, 2009. An opposition brief (and any

cross-appeal) from Abreu will be due on February 27, 2009. Any reply brief (and opposition to any

cross-appeal) will be due on March 11. Any reply to the opposition to any cross-appeal will be due

on March 25. If the court deems a hearing necessary, it will schedule one. A failure by Lona to file

her appellate brief by February 6 will result in the court issuing an order to show cause why the

The court therefore grants Lona's motion for an extension of time. Since many months have

motion to dismiss. The court has reviewed the papers and determined that this matter can be

dismissal – the ultimate sanction – is not favored as a first corrective measure. See, e.g.,

English-Speaking Union v. Johnson, 353 F.3d 1013, 1022-23 (D.C. Cir. 2004) (suggesting

determined without oral argument. See Civil L.R. 7-1(b).

any evidence of Lona's bad faith has not been developed.

Lona failed to file her opening brief on appeal. On December 11, Abreu moved to dismiss

2

1

456

8

9

10

7

11

1213

1415

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

23

2425

26

27

28

1/21/2009

appeal should not be dismissed for want of prosecution.

Ronald m whyte

RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE APPEAL BRIEF No. C-08-03563 RMW

TSF

DATED:

1	Notice of this document has been electronically sent to:		
2	2 Counsel for Appellee:	Counsel for Appellee:	
3	3 Stevan Chazen Adelman sca@millermorton.com		
4	4 Office of the U.S. Trustee	Office of the U.S. Trustee	
5	5 Nanette Dumas Nanette.Dumas@USDOJ.g	ov	
6 7	registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program	Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have not registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.	
8	8 Notice of this document has been mailed to:	Notice of this document has been mailed to:	
9	9 Appellant:	Appellant:	
10 11	Corrina Curiel Lona 360 Kiely Blvd. Suite 100 San Jose, CA 95129		
12	Trustee, John W. Richardson:	Trustee, John W. Richardson:	
13 14	Charles P. Maher		
15	Rincon Center II		
16	Suite 200 San Francisco, CA 94105		
17	17 USBC Manager – San Jose	USBC Manager – San Jose	
18	USBC Manager-San Jose		
19	19 280 South First Street		
20		Room 3035 San Jose, CA 95113	
21	Honorable Roger L. Efremsky		
22			
23		280 South First Street	
24	Room 3035 San Jose, CA 95113		
25	25		
26		TSF	
27	Chambers of Judge Whyte		
28	28		
	ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE APPEAL BRIEF No. C-08-03563 RMW	No. C-08-03563 RMW	